arXiv:math-ph/9911010v1 9 Nov 1999

Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equation for $osp(1|2)$ integrable spin chain

Kazumitsu Sakai [*] and Zengo Tsuboi [†]
Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo,
Komaba 3-8-1, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

Abstract

The thermodynamic Bethe ansatz is applied to a quantum integrable spin chain associated with the Lie superalgebra $osp(1|2)$. Using the string hypothesis, we derive a set of infinite number of non-linear integral equations (thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equation), which characterize the free energy. The low temperature limit of the free energy is also discussed.

Modern Physics Letters A, in press.

Solvable lattice models related to Lie superalgebras [1] have received much attentions [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Characteristically, these models possess both fermionic and bosonic degree of freedom and their Boltzmann weights (R-matrices) satisfy the graded Yang-Baxter equations[2]. Some of them include well-known models as special cases. For example, supersymmetric $t-J$ model is related to the Lie superalgebra $sl(1|2)$. To analyze such models, Bethe ansatz is often used (See for example [3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28] and references therein). Moreover, thermodynamics have been discussed by several people. In particular, the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) equation was given for the supersymmetric $t-J$ model [10, 26] and the supersymmetric extended Hubbard model [14, 26], which is related to $sl(2|2)$. More recently, the TBA equation for $sl(r|s)$ model was discussed [28] in relation with the continuum limit of integrable spin chains.

However, in contrast to $sl(r|s)$ case, the thermodynamics of quantum spin chain related to the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $osp(r|2s)$ is not much understood. In particular, to the author's knowledge, there is no literature on the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) equation even for the simplest orthosymplectic $osp(1|2)$ integrable spin chain [3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 16, 17]. This is regrettable because this model may be related to interesting problems such as $N = 1$ supersymmetry in particle physics, and the loop model, which will describe statistical properties of polymers in condensed matter physics [27].

---

[*] e-mail address: sakai@as2.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[†] JSPS Research Fellow; e-mail address: tsuboi@gokutan.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

The purpose of this letter is to construct the TBA equation for $osp(1|2)$ integrable spin chain based on the string hypothesis[29, 30, 31]. The resultant TBA equation, which describes the free energy is a set of infinite number of non-linear integral equations. We have also confirmed the fact that our TBA equation coincides with the one [32] from the quantum transfer matrix (QTM) approach [33, 26, 34, 35] based on the transfer matrix functional relations [25, 21, 22, 23, 24] ($osp(1|2)$ version of the T-system). This letter yields a basis of future studies of thermodynamics for more general models such as $osp(r|2s)$ model.

Now we shall describe the $osp(1|2)$ model[3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 16, 17]. The $\check{R}$-matrix of this model is given as a rational solution of the graded Yang-Baxter equation [2] associated with the three dimensional representation of the Lie superalgebra $osp(1|2)$ (to be precise, 'super Yangian' $Y(osp(1|2))$), whose basis is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded and labeled by the parameters $p(1) = p(3) = 1, p(2) = 0$. One can construct the $\check{R}(u)$-matrix as a 'Baxterization' of the Temperley-Lieb generator [9]. It reads explicitly as follows:

$$\check{R}(u) = I + uP^g - \frac{u}{u - \frac{3}{2}} E^g, \tag{1}$$

where P^g denotes the graded permutation operator which has 9×9 matrix elements $(P^g)_{ab}^{cd} = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \delta_{a,d}\delta_{b,c}$; E^g is a 9×9 matrix whose matrix elements are $(E^g)_{ab}^{cd} = \alpha_{ab}\alpha_{cd}^{-1}$; α is a 3×3 matrix:

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

There are two kinds of the definition of the transfer matrix for each model related to Lie superalgebras. The one is defined as the supertrace of a monodromy matrix and the other is the ordinary trace of a monodromy matrix. In this letter, we adopt the latter. In this case, the transfer matrix $T(u)$ of the corresponding system can be written as

$$T(u) = \mathrm{tr}_a[R_{aN}(iu) \cdots R_{a1}(iu)], \tag{3}$$

where N is the number of lattice sites; a denotes the auxiliary space; $R_{aj}(iu)$ denotes $R(iu)$ which acts non-trivially on the auxiliary space and j-th site of the quantum space; $R(u) = P\check{R}(u)$: $P_{ab}^{cd} = \delta_{a,d}\delta_{b,c}$ is the (non-graded) permutation operator.

The Hamiltonian is defined by taking the logarithmic derivative of above transfer matrix at $u=0$,

$$H = \frac{J}{i}\frac{d}{du}\ln T(u)\Big|_{u=0} = J\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(P^g_{j,j+1}+\frac{2}{3}E^g_{j,j+1}\right), \tag{4}$$

where we assume a periodic boundary condition. Here J is a real coupling constant which characterizes the phase of the model; the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic regimes correspond $J>0$ and $J<0$, respectively. The eigenvalue formula of (3) (also written as $T(u)$) is given [3, 17, 20] in the dressed vacuum form as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) &= (-1)^{N-n}(u+i)^N\frac{Q(u-\frac{i}{2})}{Q(u+\frac{i}{2})}+u^N\frac{Q(u)Q(u+\frac{3i}{2})}{Q(u+\frac{i}{2})Q(u+i)} \\ &\quad +(-1)^{N-n}\left(\frac{u(u+\frac{i}{2})}{u+\frac{3i}{2}}\right)^N\frac{Q(u+2i)}{Q(u+i)}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $Q(u)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(u-u_j)$; $n\in\{0,1,\ldots,N\}$ is a quantum number; $u_j\in\mathbb{C}$. The dressed vacuum form is built on the pseudo-vacuum state corresponding $n=0$. This formula (5) is free of poles under the following Bethe ansatz equation (BAE)

$$\left(\frac{u_k+\frac{i}{2}}{u_k-\frac{i}{2}}\right)^N = -(-1)^{N-n}\frac{Q(u_k-\frac{i}{2})Q(u_k+i)}{Q(u_k+\frac{i}{2})Q(u_k-i)} \quad \text{for} \quad k\in\{1,2,\ldots,n\}. \tag{6}$$

Taking the logarithmic derivative of (5), we obtain the eigenvalue formula of the Hamiltonian (4)

$$E = \frac{J}{i}\frac{d}{du}\ln T(u)\Big|_{u=0} = J\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(u_j)^2+\frac{1}{4}}-N\right\}. \tag{7}$$

Following Takahashi's [29] argument (see also [36]), we shall discuss the thermodynamics based on the string hypothesis. The m-string solution of the BAE (6) is given [16, 17] as follows

$$u_k^{m,\alpha} = u_k^m + \frac{i}{2}(m+1-2\alpha), \tag{8}$$

where u_k^m are the center of the strings; $\alpha\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}$; $k\in\{1,2,\ldots,n_m\}$; n_m is the number of the m-strings. Assuming (8) and taking the product of (6) on each string, we obtain

$$e\left(\frac{u_k^m}{m}\right)^N = (-1)^{(N-n+1)m}\prod_{l=1}^{\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{n_l}E_{ml}(u_k^m-u_j^l), \tag{9}$$

where $e(u) := (u + \frac{i}{2})/(u - \frac{i}{2})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ml}(u) &= e\left(\frac{u}{|l-m|}\right) e^2\left(\frac{u}{|l-m|+2}\right) \cdots e^2\left(\frac{u}{l+m-2}\right) e\left(\frac{u}{l+m}\right) \\ &\quad \times e^{-1}\left(\frac{u}{|l-m|+1}\right) e^{-1}\left(\frac{u}{|l-m|+3}\right) \cdots e^{-1}\left(\frac{u}{l+m-1}\right) \text{ if } m \neq l, \\ &= e^2\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) e^2\left(\frac{u}{4}\right) \cdots e^2\left(\frac{u}{2m-2}\right) e\left(\frac{u}{2m}\right) \\ &\qquad \times e^{-1}\left(\frac{u}{1}\right) e^{-1}\left(\frac{u}{3}\right) \cdots e^{-1}\left(\frac{u}{2m-1}\right) \qquad \text{if} \quad m = l. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Taking the logarithm of (9), we obtain

$$N\theta\left(\frac{u_k^m}{m}\right) = 2\pi I_k^m + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_l} \Theta_{ml}(u_k^m - u_j^l), \tag{11}$$

where $\theta(u) = 2\arctan(2u)$, $I_k^m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{ml}(u) &= \theta\left(\frac{u}{|l-m|}\right) + 2\theta\left(\frac{u}{|l-m|+2}\right) + \cdots + 2\theta\left(\frac{u}{l+m-2}\right) \\ &\quad + \theta\left(\frac{u}{l+m}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{u}{|l-m|+1}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{u}{|l-m|+3}\right) - \cdots - \theta\left(\frac{u}{l+m-1}\right) \\ &\qquad \text{if} \quad m \neq l, \\ &= 2\theta\left(\frac{u}{2}\right) + 2\theta\left(\frac{u}{4}\right) + \cdots + 2\theta\left(\frac{u}{2m-2}\right) + \theta\left(\frac{u}{2m}\right) \\ &\qquad -\theta\left(\frac{u}{1}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{u}{3}\right) - \cdots - \theta\left(\frac{u}{2m-1}\right) \qquad \text{if} \quad m = l. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Substituting (8) into (7), we obtain the energy in terms of the centers of the strings:

$$E = J\left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} \frac{m}{(u_j^m)^2 + (\frac{m}{2})^2} - N \right\}. \tag{13}$$

Now we shall take the thermodynamic limit ($N \to \infty$) of (11) and (13). Let particle, hole and vacancy densities be $\rho_m^p(u)$, $\rho_m^h(u)$ and $\rho_m(u)$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_m(u) &:= \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{I_{j+1}^m - I_j^m}{N(u_{j+1}^m - u_j^m)} = \rho_m^h(u) + \rho_m^p(u), \\ \rho_m^p(u) &:= \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N(u_{j+1}^m - u_j^m)}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

In the thermodynamic limit, (11) reduces to the following integral equations:

$$f_m(u) = \rho_m^h(u) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} A_{l\,m}\rho_l^p(u), \tag{15}$$

where A_{lm} is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A_{lm} = A_{ml} = \quad & [|l-m|] + 2[|l-m|+2] + \cdots + 2[l+m-2] + [l+m] \\ & -[|l-m|+1] - [|l-m|+3] - \cdots - [l+m-1], \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and $[m]$ acts on any function $g(u)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} [m]g(u) &= f_m * g(u) \quad \text{for} \quad m \neq 0, \\ [0]g(u) &= g(u), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $*$ denotes a convolution

$$f_m * g(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_m(u-v)g(v)dv, \tag{18}$$

and $f_m(u)$ is defined as follows

$$f_m(u) = \frac{m}{2\pi\left\{u^2 + (\frac{m}{2})^2\right\}}. \tag{19}$$

The operators (16) and (17) are $osp(1|2)$ version of the ones introduced by Takahashi [29]. The energy (13) per site becomes

$$\frac{E}{N} = J\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{m}{u^2+(\frac{m}{2})^2}\rho_m^p(u)du - 1\right\}. \tag{20}$$

The entropy per site is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S}{N} = \quad & k_B\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{(\rho_m^h(u)+\rho_m^p(u))\ln(\rho_m^h(u)+\rho_m^p(u))\right. \\ & \left. -\rho_m^h(u)\ln\rho_m^h(u) - \rho_m^p(u)\ln\rho_m^p(u)\right\}du, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. In the thermodynamic limit, the free energy $F = E - TS$ (T: temperature) should be minimized with respect to density functions (14). Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \frac{\delta F}{N} = J\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{m}{u^2+(\frac{m}{2})^2}\delta\rho_m^p(u)du \qquad\qquad (22) \\ -k_BT\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{\delta\rho_m^p(u)\ln\left(1+\frac{\rho_m^h(u)}{\rho_m^p(u)}\right) + \delta\rho_m^h(u)\ln\left(1+\frac{\rho_m^p(u)}{\rho_m^h(u)}\right)\right\}du. \end{aligned}$$

One can derive the following relation from (15).

$$\delta\rho_m^h(u) = -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} A_{ml}\delta\rho_l^p(u). \tag{23}$$

Combining (23) with (22), we obtain the following integral equation

$$\ln(1+\eta_m(u)) = 2\pi\beta J f_m(u) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} A_{ml}\ln(1+\eta_l(u)^{-1}), \tag{24}$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ and $\eta_m(u) := \rho_m^h(u)/\rho_m^p(u)$.

To proceed further, we assume the function $\eta_m(u)$ $(m \geq 1)$ have constant asymptotics in the limits $u \to \infty$. After performing the Fourier transformation on both sides of above equation (24), we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}[\ln(1+\eta_m)](k) = 2\pi J\beta e^{-\frac{m}{2}|k|} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}[A_{ml}](k)\mathcal{F}[\ln(1+\eta_l^{-1})](k), \tag{25}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}[A_{ml}](k) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\right) B_{ml}(k), \\
B_{ml}(k) &= \left(e^{-\frac{|l-m|}{2}|k|} - e^{-\frac{l+m}{2}|k|}\right)\frac{\cosh\frac{|k|}{2}}{\sinh\frac{|k|}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

In the above, we adopt

$$\mathcal{F}[f](k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)e^{-iku}du, \tag{27}$$

as the Fourier components of a function $f(u)$. Multiplying both sides in (25) by

$$B_{nm}^{-1}(k) = \delta_{n,m} - \frac{1}{2\cosh\frac{k}{2}} \times \begin{cases} \delta_{n,m+1} & \text{for } m = 1 \\ \delta_{n,m+1} + \delta_{n,m-1} & \text{for } m \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

and taking summation with respect to m, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}[\ln\eta_1](k) &= \frac{\pi J\beta}{\cosh\frac{k}{2}} - \frac{1}{2\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\left(\mathcal{F}[\ln(1+\eta_1^{-1})](k) - \mathcal{F}[\ln(1+\eta_2)](k)\right), \\
\mathcal{F}[\ln\eta_m](k) &= \frac{1}{2\cosh\frac{k}{2}}\left(\mathcal{F}[\ln(1+\eta_{m-1})](k) + \mathcal{F}[\ln(1+\eta_{m+1})](k)\right. \\
&\quad \left. -\mathcal{F}[\ln(1+\eta_m^{-1})](k)\right) \qquad \text{for } m \geq 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By performing the inverse Fourier transformation, we obtain the following TBA equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \eta_1(u) &= \frac{\pi\beta J}{\cosh \pi u} - K * \ln(1+\eta_1^{-1})(u) + K * \ln(1+\eta_2)(u), \\
\ln \eta_m(u) &= K * \ln(1+\eta_{m-1})(u) - K * \ln(1+\eta_m^{-1})(u) \\
&\quad + K * \ln(1+\eta_{m+1})(u) \qquad \text{for } m \geq 2, \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

where the integral kernel $K(u)$ is defined by

$$K(u) = \frac{1}{2\cosh \pi u}. \qquad (31)$$

After performing the Fourier transformation on (15) and multiplying by B_{lm}^{-1}, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}[\rho_1^p](k) &= \frac{1}{2\cosh\frac{k}{2} - 1}\left(1 - 2\cosh\frac{k}{2}\mathcal{F}[\rho_1^h](k) + \mathcal{F}[\rho_2^h](k)\right), \\
\mathcal{F}[\rho_m^p](k) &= -\frac{1}{2\cosh\frac{k}{2} - 1}\left(2\cosh\frac{k}{2}\mathcal{F}[\rho_m^h](k) - \mathcal{F}[\rho_{m-1}^h](k) - \mathcal{F}[\rho_{m+1}^h](k)\right) \\
&\qquad \text{for } m \geq 2. \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining above equations with (20) and (21), and using the fact that $\eta_m(u) = \eta_m(-u)$ for $m \geq 1$, we can derive the free energy per site f as

$$f = J\left(\frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} - 1\right) - k_B T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(u)\ln(1+\eta_1(u))du, \qquad (33)$$

where $R(u)$ is written as

$$R(u) = \frac{2\sinh\frac{4\pi u}{3}}{\sqrt{3}\sinh 2\pi u}. \qquad (34)$$

To determine the unique solutions of the TBA equation (30), we need to obtain the asymptotic values $\eta_m(\pm\infty) := \lim_{u\to\pm\infty}\eta_m(u)$ $(m \geq 1)$. To determine $\eta_m(\pm\infty)$, we shall consider the high temperature limit $T \to \infty$. In this limit, we assume that all the functions $\eta_m(u)$ $(m \geq 1)$ are independent of the parameter u. Then (30) can be rewritten as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1^2 &= \frac{1+\eta_2}{1+\eta_1^{-1}}, \\
\eta_m^2 &= \frac{(1+\eta_{m+1})(1+\eta_{m-1})}{1+\eta_m^{-1}} \qquad \text{for } m \geq 2. \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

The free energy (33) must have the following form,

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{f}{k_B T} = -\ln 3, \qquad (36)$$

which follows from the fact that the freedom of the state per site is three, namely the entropy per site must be $S = k_B \ln 3$ in the high temperature limit. Thus we have $\eta_1 = 2$ and the following solutions of (35):

$$\eta_m = \frac{m(m+3)}{2} \quad \text{for } m \geq 1. \tag{37}$$

Therefore at any finite temperature ($T > 0$), the asymptotic values of $\eta_m(u)$ in (30) have the same values as (37):

$$\lim_{u \to \pm\infty} \eta_m = \frac{m(m+3)}{2} \quad \text{for } m \geq 1, \tag{38}$$

since $\eta_m(\pm\infty)$ also satisfy the same equations as (35) and assumed to be independent of T. Consequently, the free energy per site at any finite temperatures can be uniquely determined by (33) through the TBA equation (30) and the asymptotic values (38). The above representation for the TBA equation (30), the asymptotic values (38) and the free energy (33) can also be confirmed by the QTM method, which is free from the string hypothesis [32]. One might suspect that our TBA equation (30) is nothing but a certain specialization of the one [37] for the Izergin-Korepin model since the affine version of $osp(1|2)$, i.e. $osp(1|2)^{(1)}$ has close resemblance to the affine Lie algebra $A_2^{(2)}$. However, to the author's knowledge, so far the TBA equation for the Izergin-Korepin model has been constructed [37] so that it reduces to the one for a $su(3)$-invariant model in the isotropic limit. Therefore, we expect that our TBA equation (30) is new.

From the above expression, one can analyze the the low temperature limit of the free energy. Let $\varepsilon_m(u) = k_B T \ln \eta_m(u)$. In the case $J > 0$, we can see that the functions ε_m ($m \geq 1$) are always positive by applying the iteration method to the TBA equation (30). Therefore from (24), the functions $\varepsilon_m(u)$ are written, in the limit $T \to 0$, as

$$\varepsilon_m(u) = 2\pi J f_m(u) \qquad \text{for } m \geq 1. \tag{39}$$

Thus we have

$$\eta_m = \infty, \qquad \rho_m^p = 0. \tag{40}$$

These results mean that all the spins are in the same direction, which agrees that the $J > 0$ case corresponds to the ferromagnetic regime. From above Eq. (40) and Eq. (20), we have the ground state energy per site e_0:

$$e_0 = -J. \tag{41}$$

While in the case $J < 0$, one sees from the TBA equation (30) that $\eta_m \leq m(m+3)/2$ ($m \geq 1$). Therefore the second term in the equation (33) will vanish in the limit $T \to 0$. Thus the ground state energy per site e_0 is given by

$$e_0 = J\left(\frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} - 1\right), \tag{42}$$

which coincides with the result in [17].

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Professor A. Kuniba for encouragement. This work is supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellows from the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports of Japan.

References

[1] V. Kac, *Adv. Math.* **26**, 8 (1977).

[2] P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, *J. Sov. Math* **19**, 1596 (1982).

[3] P. P. Kulish, *J. Sov. Math.* **35**, 2648 (1986).

[4] V. V. Bazhanov and A. G. Shadrikov, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **73**, 1302 (1988).

[5] P. P. Kulish and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **18**, 143 (1989).

[6] T. Deguchi, A. Fujii and K. Ito, *Phys. Lett.* B **238**, 242 (1990).

[7] H. Saleur, *Nucl. Phys.* B **336**, 363 (1990).

[8] R. B. Zhang, A. J. Bracken and M. D. Gould, *Phys. Lett. B* **257**, 133 (1991).

[9] M. J. Martins and P. B. Ramos, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27**, L703 (1994).

[10] P. Schlottmann, *Phys. Rev.* B **36**, 5177 (1987).

[11] F. H. L. Essler and V. E. Korepin, *Phys. Rev.* B **46**, 9147 (1992).

[12] F. H. L. Essler, V. E. Korepin and K. Schoutens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 2960 (1992).

[13] A. Foerster and M. Karowski, *Nucl. Phys.* B **396**, 611 (1993).

[14] F. H. L. Essler and V. E. Korepin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* B **8**, 3243 (1994).

[15] Z. Maassarani, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28**, 1305 (1995).

[16] M. J. Martins, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 3316 (1995).

[17] M. J. Martins, *Nucl. Phys.* B **450**, 768 (1995).

[18] P. B. Ramos and M. J. Martins, *Nucl. Phys.* B **474**, 678 (1996).

[19] M. P. Pfannmüller and H. Frahm, *Nucl. Phys.* B **479**, 575 (1996).

[20] M. J. Martins and P. B. Ramos, *Nucl. Phys.* B **500**, 579 (1997).

[21] Z. Tsuboi, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30**, 7975 (1997).

[22] Z. Tsuboi, *Physica* A **252**, 565 (1998).

[23] Z. Tsuboi, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31**, 5485 (1998).

[24] Z. Tsuboi, *Physica* A **267**, 173 (1999).

[25] Z. Tsuboi, *Analytic Bethe ansatz and functional relations related to tensor-like representations of type II Lie superalgebras* $B(r|s)$ *and* $D(r|s)$, preprint, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32**, 7175 (1999).

[26] G. Jüttner, A. Klümper and J. Suzuki, *Nucl. Phys.* B **512**, 581 (1998).

[27] M. J. Martins, B. Nienhuis and R. Rietman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 504 (1998).

[28] H. Saleur, *solv-int*/9905007.

[29] M. Takahashi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **46**, 401 (1971).

[30] M. Gaudin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26**, 1301 (1971).

[31] M. Takahashi and M. Suzuki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **48**, 2187 (1972).

[32] K. Sakai and Z. Tsuboi, *Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equation from fusion hierarchy of* $osp(1|2)$ *integrable spin chain*, submitted (1999).

[33] A. Klümper, *Ann. Physik* **1**, 540 (1992).

[34] A. Kuniba, K. Sakai and J. Suzuki, *Nucl. Phys.* B **525**, 597 (1998).

[35] K. Sakai, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **68**, 1789 (1999).

[36] C.N. Yang and C.P. Yang, *J. Math. Phys.* **10**, 1115 (1969).

[37] L. Mezincescu, R. I. Nepomechie, P. K. Townsend and A. M. Tsvelik, *Nucl. Phys.* B **406**, 681 (1993).